

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/394,345 Ι 09/13/99 TAKAYAMA 0756-2028 **EXAMINER** 022204 WM02/0314 NIXON PEABODY, LLP osorio, R 8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER SUITE 800 MCLEAN VA 22102 2673

DATE MAILED:

03/14/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

4	
-	

Office Action Summary	Office	Action	Summar	/
-----------------------	--------	--------	--------	---

Application No. 09/394,345 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Takayama et al.

	Ricardo Osorio	2673	
X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 17, 2001</u>			
☐ This action is FINAL .			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/938		on as to the m	erits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exten 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for re	esponse will cau	ise the
Disposition of Claim			
		is/are pend	ing in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)	i	s/are withdrawn	from consideration
☐ Claim(s)			
☐ Claim(s)			
☐ Claims			
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The drawing(s) filed on	e objected to by the Examiner. is approved ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). of the priority documents have be number) he International Bureau (PCT Rule)	een	
Attachment(s) ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
SEE OFFICE ACTION	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES		

Art Unit: 2673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 11-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lou et al. (4,042,854) in view of Utsugi et al. (5,670,792) and Fischer (3,885,196).

Under claims 11, 13, 14, 17, 21 and 24 and 28-32, Lou teaches of an active matrix luminescent display device comprising a substrate (see col. 1, line 68), a plurality of light emissive elements arranged in a matrix over said substrate, first TFTs (T1, Fig 3) over said substrate, second TFTs (T2, Fig. 3) over said substrate and connected to the light emissive elements (EL, Fig. 3), respectively, wherein one of said first TFTs is connected to the gate of one of said second TFTs, a first signal line (Fig. 1, element number 20) and a second signal line (column bus line) intersecting each other (Fig. 3, element number 16), the first signal line (20) is connected to a gate of the first TFT (T1, Fig. 3) and the second signal line (column bus line 16) is connected to the source or drain of the first TFT (T1), in the second TFT the other one of the source or drain of the first TFT is connected to a gate of the second TFT (see Fig. 3), an electroluminescent element (EL) electrically connected to the source or drain of the second TFT (see Fig. 3), a power supply line electrically connected to the other one of the source or drain of the second TFT (see Fig. 3), and a capacitor (CS, in Fig. 3) formed between the gate of the second TFT and the source or drain of the second TFT to which said power supply line (18) is connected (see Fig. 3).

Application/Control Number: 09/394,345

Art Unit: 2673

Lou teaches of an electroluminescent display device, but fails to teach of that said device is an organic electroluminescent device.

Page 3

Utsugi teaches of an active matrix organic el device having first and second transistors (see Figs. 1-3, and col. 1, lines 15-42).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use organic electroluminescent elements, as taught by Utsugi, in the device of Lou because, as is well known in the art, an improved luminance performance can be obtained (see col. 1, lines 35-42).

The device of Lou, as anticipated by Utsugi, fails to teach of a circuit for driving said first TFTs comprising third TFTs which are column-selecting transistors.

Fisher teaches a circuit of third TFTs (elements Q10-Q18, Q20-Q26, or both groups of TFTs, in Figs. 1 and 2), for driving first TFTs (Figs. 1-2, elements Q41-Q46), which are column-selecting transistors (see Figs. 1-2, col. 1, lines 18-32, and col. 3, lines 31-55).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have third TFTs, as taught by Fisher, in the combined device of Lou and Utsugi because it alleviates the size restriction of EL panels (see col. 1, lines 18-25), and because transistors such as TFTs, MOSs, JFETs, etc, are well known in the art of semiconductors to be used as switches.

Under claims 15, 16, 18, 19,22, 23, 25 and 26, Luo teaches a video signal applied to the gate of the second TFT through said second signal line (16) and said first TFT (T1) and said power

Application/Control Number: 09/394,345

9/394,345

Page 4

Art Unit: 2673

supply line extends parallel with said second signal line (see Figs. 3 and 4 and col. 2, lines 17-

30).

Under claims 12, 20 and 27, the device of Luo, as anticipated by Utsugi, fails to teach of an

electroluminescent display device comprising a first shift register and a second shift register

electrically connected to first thin film transistors.

Fisher teaches of a first SR and a second SR electrically connected to a plurality of first TFTs

(see Figs. 1-2, col. 1, lines 27-31 and 42-44, and col .3, lines 29-45).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to use the shift registers, as taught by Fisher, in the combined device of Luo and

Utsugi because shift registers are commonly known to be used in the art of electroluminescent

display devices to convert parallel data to serial data.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11, 14, 17, 21 and 24 have been considered

but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Ricardo Osorio whose telephone number is (703) 305-2248. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding.

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700

Application/Control Number: 09/394,345

Art Unit: 2673

4. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or: (703) 308-6606 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Ricardo Osorio

March 14, 2001

BIPIN SHALWALA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600